

2002-34

>>> "Cheryl Gibson" <Cheryl.Gibson@3cc.co.wayne.mi.us> 08/02/04 02:54PM >>>

My comments regarding the Proposed Administrative Order(2003-6) is as follows:

1. The Civil Division has very few show causes filed against reporters for failing to timely file transcripts for purposes of an appeal. Under the above Administrative Order the time for filing motions for summary disposition would be reduced from 91 days to 28 days. I recommend that 42 days to timely file motions for summary disposition transcripts would be more manageable for court reporters.
2. The fee for filing an extension should be reduced to a lower cost because most motions for summary disposition are less than 30 pages. Therefore, the cost to file an extension is greater than the cost to produce the transcript.
3. The proposed order indicates that the appellant is responsible for filing the transcript and not the court reporter. But, under 7.210, the court reporter has the responsibility of filing an extension, therefore I would ask that the clarification be provided as to who is responsible for filing an extension.
4. Due to the paper work involved in requesting transcripts for appeal purpose , I recommend that the ordering party when requesting motions for summary disposition transcripts, identify that the hearing is a motion for summary disposition, and , the motions transcripts be ordered separate from trial transcripts . This will eliminate any confusion the court reporter may have in terms of timely filing the transcripts.